

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Docket

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

June 23, 1993

IN REPLY REFER TO:
7330-7/1700A3

RECEIVED

JUN 25 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Honorable Dan Rostenkowski
House of Representatives
2111 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rostenkowski:

This is in reply to your letter of June 9, 1993, in which you inquired on

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-1305

PRB
92-235
2433
COMMITTEE:
CHAIRMAN
COMMITTEE ON
WAYS AND MEANS
CHAIRMAN
JOINT COMMITTEE ON
TAXATION

June 9, 1993

Mr. Ralph Haller
Chief, Private Radio Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Mr. Haller:

I am writing on behalf of my constituents
regarding the Federal Communications Commission docket
PR 92-235.

Enclosed are copies of letters which I have
received from residents of the 5th Congressional
District and I would appreciate it if you would review
their comments and provide my office with an
appropriate response.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

With best wishes, I remain

Sincerely,


Dan Rostenkowski
Member of Congress

DR:mal

Enclosure

February 16, 1993

The Honorable Dan Rostankowski
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C., 20515

Dear Mr. Rostankowski:

Please be advised that I am very concerned about proposed rules that are currently under consideration by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), namely PR Docket 92-235.

I am a radio control model airplane sports flyer and feel that a frequency restructuring developed by the FCC Land Mobile Service would cause frequency interference problems in the areas set aside for radio-controlled airplane flying. When we fly our model planes under radio control, we go to great lengths to

February , 1993

The Honorable
U.S. House of Reps.
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative :

I, JACK T. ROBLES, am writing this letter
opposing your NPRM-PR Docket 92-235.

I am an active competitor in local, national, and international events and I derive many hours of pleasure from building and operating radio controlled models. I am also an active member of our local club. I have invested several hundred dollars in radio control equipment that would be unusable if this frequency assignment is adopted.

I believe that this proposal is disruptive and unnecessary to the free flow of information and commerce within the United States.

Yours very truly,

Jack T. Robles

February 11, 1993

The Honorable Dan Rostenkowski
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

h

Dear Sir:

I am retired and derive many hours of enjoyment from constructing and operating radio controlled model airplanes.

I am very concerned about proposed rules that are currently under consideration by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The proceeding is PR Docket 92-235. If adopted, the new rules will greatly reduce the usability of frequencies currently assigned for model use and increase the risk of accidents and attendant liability for controlling model airplanes.

Our radio control frequencies are in the 72 - 76 MHz band. This band is primarily used for private land mobile dispatch operation. However, our radio control frequencies in this band are far enough apart from the land mobile frequencies that we have been able to share the band without either use interfering with the other.

Now the FCC wants to create more land mobile frequencies by splitting them into narrower bandwidths and rearranging the band plan. As a result, any land mobile frequencies will move closer to the radio control frequencies and cause interference to radio control operations. I am told that of the 50 frequencies that are presently available for radio control of model airplanes, only 19 frequencies will be left if these new rules are adopted.

Thank you for your review and consideration.

Sincerely,

Walter J. Dziagwa

Walter J. Dziagwa
5145 West Henderson Street
Chicago, Illinois 60641

02/13/93

The Honorable Dan Rostenkowski
U.S. House of Reps.
Washington, DC 20515

6

Dear Representative Rostenkowski;

I am a Manager of Computer Engineering Training with a major interest in, and enjoyment of, the hobby of radio control models. I understand the Federal Communications Commission is proposing rule changes which will significantly affect our hobby. The proposed rule changes are known by NPRM 92-235. They will realign the frequency allocations in one of our primary radio frequency bands used for the control of model aircraft.

Our radio control frequencies are in the 72-76 MHz band. This band is primarily used for private land mobile dispatch operations. However, our radio control frequencies in this band are far enough apart from the land mobile frequencies that we have been able to share the band without either use interfering with the other.

Now the FCC wants to create more land mobile frequencies by splitting them into narrower bandwidths and rearranging the band plan. As a result, many land mobile frequencies will move closer to the radio control frequencies and cause interference to radio control operations. I understand that of the 50 frequencies presently used for radio control of model airplanes, only 19 frequencies will be unaffected by these rules.

When we fly our model airplanes under radio control, we assure the safety of the operators and bystanders through careful control and use of the radio control frequencies. If the number of usable frequencies is diminished as proposed by the FCC, the remaining frequencies will become congested and the margin of safety will be greatly decreased.

I do not think it is wise of the FCC to seek to change the operating conditions of land mobile radio users at the expense of radio control modelers. We as a nationwide body of more than 200,000 people have a considerable investment in our aircraft models and radio equipment. The hobby provides many hours of enjoyment to thousands of people like myself and helps to direct young people in career paths in the aviation fields.

The radio frequency spectrum is considered public property. I feel it is only proper that it should be used to the benefit of as many people as possible. Please help us continue the safe enjoyment of this activity by not allowing the FCC to carry out its proposed rule changes for the 72-76 MHz band as described by NPRM 92-235.

Regards,

Richard P. Gaynor

Richard P. Gaynor
4041 N. Pulaski Rd.
Chicago, IL 60641